

Application No.

08/715,724

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Interview Summary

Group Art Unit

Wilson et al.

	Terrel Morris	1314	
O All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Terrel Morris	(3)		
(2) Karen Dellerman	(4)		
Date of Interview	_		
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:			
Agreement was reached. was not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: All generally including new claims 20	-23		
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Lin			
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to in Discussed how the amendment is not seen to distinguish could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of one of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of the could remove anticipation but introduce the question of the could remove anticipation but introduce the could remove an interest and the could remove anticipation but introduce the could remove an interest and the	over Lin. Discussed how the lang	nuage "less the	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no c is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the	e interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action h FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT O	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN as already been filed, APPLICANT	ITERVIEW. (S IS GIVEN ON	See MPEP
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.			
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an a	attachment to a signed Office action.	PRI	ERREL MORRIS MARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1314